Record of officer decision

Decision title:	Highways Act 1980, Section 25
	Proposed Public Path Creation Agreement in the Parish of Colwall
Date of decision:	14 January 2021
Decision maker:	Acting Assistant Director Highways and Transport / Head of Infrastructure Delivery
Authority for delegated decision:	Directorate scheme of delegation: updated 1 December 2020 Directorate: Economy and Place, section 75.
	To act on behalf of the council in respect of the legislation specified in the foregoing:
	Traffic Management Act 2004, Road Traffic Act 1988, Cycle Tracks Act 1984, Highways Act 1980, National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1948, New Roads and Street Works Act 1991 Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Town Police Clauses Act 1847, Traffic Calming Act 1992 Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981
Ward:	Hope End
Consultation:	Su Consultations undertaken include: -
	• Local Member – Cllr. Johnson has no objections to the proposal
	Colwall Parish Council - Support the proposal.
Decision made:	The proposed dedication by the landowners is accepted and a creation agreement is made to add a new public footpath to the definitive map and statement in the parish of Colwall as shown in the Plan DED52 attached to this report at appendix A.
Reasons for decision:	To consider a proposed creation agreement under Section 25 of the Highways Act 1980 to add a Public Footpath to the definitive map and statement in the parish of Colwall.
Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality considerations:	
	The proposal seeks to deliver the council's <u>environmental policy</u> <u>commitments</u> and aligns to the following success measures in the County Plan.
	 Improve residents' access to green space in Herefordshire Increase the number of short distance trips being done by sustainable modes of travel – walking, cycling, public transport
	Equality duty

The proposed dedication route is level, well maintained and gated. It will provide further access to the countryside with minimal barriers

Under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the 'general duty' on public authorities is set out as follows:

A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to -

eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;

advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;

foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Resource implications

The only costs involved in processing the dedication agreement is to advertise it in a local newspaper which amounts to approximately £400.00 The route has been set out on the ground, including the installation of the gates, by the ownerss. The proposed path has been set out to an acceptable standard and the Council will therefore not need to expend any funds in setting out the path before it is brought into use. The Council will be responsible for any future maintenance costs for maintaining the path as it will become part of the Council's highways network. Future maintenance costs will be met by the budget allocated to the rights of way service through the annual plan.

Legal implications

The Council has a discretionary power under section 25 of the Highways Act 1980 to enter into a public path creation agreement with landowners. There is no requirement to consult any parties or organisations other than with another local authority, if one is affected.

As stated earlier in this report the Council is satisfied that the parties to the public path creation agreement have the necessary power to dedicate the land forming the new path.

Once the agreement has been signed and as soon as possible after the dedication of the path which is the subject of the agreement the Council must give notice in a local newspaper circulating in the locality of the area in which the land to which the public path creation agreement relates is located. There are no provisions for objections or representations

Risk management

Risk / opportunity	Mitigation
The future maintenance and	The route already has the
liability will rest with	required infrastructure in
Herefordshire Council as highway	place and is considered low
authority	risk in terms of future expense
	and liability.

•	Briefly summarise any alternative options and say why they were rejected.
-	If any officers or members involved or consulted in the decision-making have declared an interest you should include the declaration here.

I am an officer delegated to make the decision

Signed:

Print Name:Mairead LaneJob Title:Assistant Director Highways & Transport /
Head of Infrastructure Delivery